

HUMANITIES INSTITUTE  
Frederic Will, Ph.D.

Characters in Chekhov

## The Banker (unconscientious)

**Character** *The Bet* turns around the impulsive and greedy behavior of a wealthy banker, at an intellectual gathering in which the main topic is capital punishment. The occasion was in the autumn fifteen years previous to the narration, but every detail of the discussion is sharply edged on the banker's mind. He himself argues that capital punishment and life imprisonment are equally immoral, but that 'if I were offered the choice between them I would certainly choose the second. It's better to live somehow than not to live at all.' The discussion accelerates, the banker insisting that a young lawyer, present in the discussion, 'wouldn't stick in a cell even for five years.' The younger man takes him up, the banker guarantees two million roubles if the young man—who has now upped the ante to fifteen years—will stick in a cell, under certain conditions. The banker, still lively and risking, licks his lips at the challenge.

**Bettor** 'So this wild ridiculous bet came to pass.' The banker's original bet is carried out impetuously, as are the immediate plans to set up a voluntary imprisonment house, on the banker's grounds. With great precision the better and the lawyer lay out the conditions of the bet: voluntarily self-imprisonment. The voluntary prisoner agrees to remain fifteen years in self-imposed solitary, while the banker will enjoy—he guesses—freedom and happiness, and a continuous large bank account. At the moment of this reflection, however, the banker is beginning to sense that he made a foolish deal. On his part, he now feels, the bet was 'the caprice of a well fed man; on the lawyer's pure greed of gold.'

**Compliant** It is the banker's responsibility to provide wine, musical instruments, and books to the prisoner, and so he does. That begins to be a heavy responsibility. 'In the second half of the sixth year, the prisoner began zealously to study languages, philosophy, and history. He fell on these subjects so hungrily that the banker hardly had time to get books enough for him.' In a letter to the banker, the prisoner requests a favor: he is sending lines written in six different languages, if the banker, after checking with experts, finds that there is no mistake in the lines, then he is to have a gun fired off in the garden. The banker makes the requested check, and finding the lines faultlessly written, orders a commendatory gun shot.

**Lesson** As the completion of fifteen years draws near, the banker feels a tremendous desire to look in on his voluntary prisoner, which he does. What he saw shocked him. 'His hair was already silvering with grey; and no one would have believed that he was only forty years old.' Before the prisoner, on the table, lay a note to the banker containing a message to the bettor. 'On my own clear conscience and before god who sees me I declare to you that I despise freedom, life, health, and all that your books call the blessings of the world.' All that he had learned, the prisoner says, 'is compressed to a little lump in my skull. I know that I am cleverer than you all.' What the banker has learned is a surprising reversal of the expected outcome of the fifteen year imprisonment.

**Better** The next morning the banker learned, from the night watchman, that the voluntary prisoner had sprung the coop, just before the completion of his time in jail. He had not stopped for the money due him, because, as we have just learned, he now despised money and its products. (In the meantime, in the course of the past fifteen years, the banker had lost most of his money on the stock market.) The banker's final act, in this drama, was to 'take the paper with the renunciation'—of the millions due the prisoner—and to 'lock it in his safe.' The prisoner was free, the banker was the prisoner now, hiding the lawyer's secret

**Parallels** The ancient Greeks were intrigued with the interplay between chance and necessity, and thus—it followed—with 'taking chances.' One might argue that Oedipus takes a huge chance, at the beginning of the play of his name, in plunging incautiously into a total search of his background and of the nature of the plague destroying his country. One might say, in the end, that he 'lost his bet.' In 547 B.C., at the Battle of Pteria, Cyrus is told by the Oracle that 'if he crosses the Halys he will destroy a great

Empire,' and he gambles (incorrectly, it turns out) that the Empire in question is the enemy's and not his own. Perhaps the most famous gambling bet in modern thought is Pascal's 'pari,' his argument that one should wager on God's existence, for to lose that bet is to lose nothing, while to wager on God's non-existence is to place no winning bets.

### **Discussion questions**

Is the narrator sympathetic with the banker, or with the lawyer, or is he making an 'objective point'? If so, what is that point?

In what way did the lawyer win the bet over the banker? Why did the lawyer escape at the last minute, without taking his money?

Did the banker or the lawyer eventually win the bet? Was either happier after making the bet than before?